



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,803	11/24/2006	Shey-Shing Sheu	RO0006US.NP	8858
26259	7590	12/01/2008	EXAMINER	
LICATA & TYRRELL P.C. 66 E. MAIN STREET MARLTON, NJ 08053			CRANE, LAWRENCE E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1623	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/01/2008	ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

poreilly@licataandtyrrell.com

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/580,803	SHEU ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
LAWRENCE E. CRANE	1623	

**All Participants:**

(1) LAWRENCE E. CRANE.

**Status of Application:** Response after final filed.

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Jane M. Licata.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 20 November 2008

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*112, first paragraph and second paragraph*

Claims discussed:

*1, 15, 18, 21, 24, and 30*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant and examiner discussed changes that might be made by Examiner's Amendment. Applicant suggested that examiner provide by e-mail a proposed Examiner's Amendment. Examiner agreed to provide same.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Lawrence E. Crane/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1623

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)